

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/635,968	08/10/2000	Dan Botez	032026-0471	6270
75	590 04/24/2002			
Harry C Enstrom			EXAMINER	
Foley & Lardner 150 East Gilman Street			JACKSON, CORNELIUS H	
P O Box 1497			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Madison, WI 53701-1497			AKTONII	FALK NOMBER
			2828	
	Ĭ.		DATE MAILED: 04/24/2002	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	09/635,968	BOTEZ ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
•	Cornelius H. Jackson	2828
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR I THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Faiture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re tition. rs, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ry statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  "HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	04 Marsh 0000	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o		
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 13-21 and 23-43 is/are pending	g in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	ithdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		2
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-21 and 23-43</u> is/are rejected		PAUL IP
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		PAUL IP
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction Application Papers	and/or election requirement.	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐	accepted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on	<u>01 March 2002</u> is: a)⊠ approved	b) disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required	d in reply to this Office action.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docu	iments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docu	ıments have been received in Ap	plication No
Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation     See the attached detailed Office action for	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	<u>-</u>
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	· ·	
a) The translation of the foreign languages 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	ge provisional application has bee	en received.
Attachment(s)	g g	,
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	48) 5) Notice of Inf	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office TO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Off	fice Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 9

Art Unit: 2828

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Acknowledgment

1. Acknowledgment is made that applicant's Amendment, filed on 01 March 2002, has been entered. Upon entrance of Amendment, claims 1-12 and 22 have been cancelled and claim 13 has been amended. Claims 13-21 and 23-43 are now pending in the application.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 13-21 and 23-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claims 13-21 and 23-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: a) how the upper and lower cladding layer surround the active layer, b) what is considered to be upper, lower and edge faces and c) where are the electrodes and distributed feedback

Art Unit: 2828

grating positioned within the structure. Claims 14-21, 23-26 and 28-43 are rejected for depending on indefinite claim 13 or 27.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 13-14, 17-18 and 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kinoshita (4958357). Kinoshita teaches a surface emitting semiconductor laser **Figs. 6a-c** comprising a semiconductor substrate **1**, an epitaxial structure on the substrate including a layer with an active region **4** at which light emission occurs, upper **5** and lower **3** cladding layers surrounding the active layer **4**, upper and lower faces, edge faces **12**, with both of the edge faces formed to be antireflective **col. 9**, **lines 45-48**, and electrodes **11**, **21** by which voltage can be applied across the epitaxial structure and the substrate **1**; and a distributed feedback grating **2** incorporated with the epitaxial structure comprising periodically alternating grating elements to provide optical feedback as a second order grating for a selected effective wavelength of light generation from the active region **4**, the grating having a spacing **10** between adjacent grating elements at a position intermediate the edge faces **12** that corresponds to a selected phase shift in the grating **c. I. 9**, **lines 65-68**, the grating

formed and positioned to act upon the light generated in the active region to produce lasing action and emission of light from at least one of the upper and lower faces of the semiconductor laser, see col. 1, lines 13-42, col. 7, lines 20-51 and col. 4 line 38-col. 10, line 63.

In regard to claims 14 and 18, Kinoshita discloses stated limitation, see claim above.

In regards to claim 17, Kinoshita discloses stated limitation, see col. 7, lines 39-

In regards to claim 23, Kinoshita discloses stated limitation, see col. 1, lines 30-33 and col. 9, lines 65-68.

In regard to claims 24 and 26, Kinoshita discloses stated limitation, see col. 11, lines 1-33.

In regards to claim 25, Kinoshita discloses stated limitation, see col. 11, lines 34-40.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- Claims 15-16, 19-20 and 27-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being 8. unpatentable over Kurobe et al. (5432812) in view of Kinoshita (4958357).
- 9. Regarding claim 27, Kurobe et al. teach a surface emitting semiconductor laser Fig. 14 comprising a semiconductor substrate 202, an epitaxial structure on the substrate 202 including a layer with an active region 273 at which light emission occurs, upper 274 and lower 272 cladding layers surrounding the active layer 273, upper and lower faces, edge faces, and electrodes 242, 244 by which voltage can be applied across the epitaxial structure and the substrate 202; a distributed feedback grating 275, col. 11col. 9, lines 51-56 incorporated with the epitaxial structure comprising periodically alternating grating elements for a selected effective wavelength of light generation from the active region 273, the grating having a spacing 276 between adjacent grating elements at a position intermediate the edge faces that corresponds to a selected phase shift 276 in the grating, the grating 275 formed and positioned to act upon the light generated in the active region to produce lasing action and emission of light from at least one of the upper and lower faces of the semiconductor laser; and passive distributed Bragg reflector gratings 210, 230 incorporated with the epitaxial structure adjacent the distributed feedback grating 275 to reflect light back to the distributed feedback grating 275. Kurobe fail to disclose the distributed feedback grating providing an optical feedback as a second order grating. Kinoshita teach the distributed feedback grating providing optical feedback as a second order grating. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the teachings of Kinoshita to obtain a light emitting device which

oscillates in a single longitudinal mode which is used as a light source in long haul and high bite-rate optical communication systems, see col. 1, lines 23-42.

In regards to claims 15-16 and 29-30, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a known material to reflect or transmit part of the laser output, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

In regard to claims 19-20 and 33-34, the materials, wherein the active and cladding layers are made of, are well known in the art in order to obtain a laser of a desired wavelength. It would have been a matter of obvious design choice to select the materials wherein the active and cladding layers are made of based on their suitability for which they are to be used, **see claim 15 above**.

In regards to claim 28, Kurobe teaches the stated limitation, see claim 27 above.

In regards to claim 31-32, 36-38 and 42-43, Kinoshita teaches the stated

limitation, see corresponding claims above.

## Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 13-21 and 23-43 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2828

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cornelius H. Jackson whose telephone number is (703) 306-5981. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 5:00, Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Ip can be reached on (703) 308-3098. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)308-7722 for regular communications and (703)308-7721 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

chj 🖊

April 16, 2002

PAUL IP

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800